approach those of the simple classical model based on eq 2 and 8.

As shown by Figure 1, the Marcus quadratic equation (eq 3) is a good approximation to eq 8 in the range $|\Delta G| \leq$ $4\Delta G^{\pm}(0)$. The "linear" free-energy relationships (eq 4) can be viewed as tangents to the eq 8 curve.⁴⁵ The slopes of such "linear" plots have to be in the range $0 < \alpha < 1$, with values lower or higher than 0.5 for exo- or endoergonic reactions, respectively.46 For values different from 0 and 1, "linear" relationships can only be valid for more or less narrow ranges of ΔG , depending on the curvature of the eq 8 plot, i.e., on the $\Delta G^{\pm}(0)$ value.

Acknowledgment. We thank Dr. M. T. Indelli for useful discussions.

References and Notes

- (1) R. A. Marcus, Discuss. Faraday Soc., 29, 21 (1960); Rev. Phys. Chem., 15, 155 (1964); N. Sutin in "Inorganic Biochemistry", Vol. 2, G. L. Eichorn, Ed., Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1973, p 611. J. N. Brønsted and K. Pedersen, *Z. Phys. Chem.*, **108**, 185 (1924).
- J. Horiouti and M. Polanyi, Acta Physicochim. URSS, 2, 505 (1935). M. G. Evans and M. Polanyi, Trans. Faraday Soc., 32, 1340 (1936); 34, 11 (1938)
- (4) D. Rehm and A. Weller, Ber. Bunsenges. Phys. Chem., 73, 834 (1969); Isr. J. Chem., 8, 259 (1970).
- (5) R. A. Marcus, J. Phys. Chem., 72, 891 (1968).
- (6) N. Agmon and R. D. Levine, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, **52**, 197 (1977).
 (7) N. S. Hush, *Trans. Faraday Soc.*, **57**, 577 (1961).
 (8) W. L. Reynolds and R. W. Lumry, "Mechanisms of Electron Transfer", The
- Ronald Press, New York, 1966. (9) F. Basolo and R. G. Pearson, "Mechanisms of Inorganic Reactions", 2nd
- ed., Wiley, New York, 1967. (10) N. Sutin, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, **1**, 225 (1968). (11) E. Vogelmann, S. Schreiner, W. Rauscher, and H. E. A. Kramer, *Z. Phys.* Chem., Neue Folge, 101, 321 (1976).
- (12) R. Scheerer and M. Gratzel, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 99, 865 (1977).
 (13) C. Creutz and N. Sutin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 99, 241 (1977).
 (14) V. Breymann, H. Dreeskamp, E. Koch, and M. Zander, Chem. Phys. Lett.,
- 59, 68 (1978).
- (15) R. Ballardini, G. Varani, M. T. Indelli, F. Scandola, and V. Balzani, J. Am.
- Chem. Soc., 100, 7219 (1978). (16) V. Balzani, F. Bolletta, M. T. Gandolfi, and M. Maestri, Top. Curr. Chem., 75, 1 (1978).
- (17) M. T. Indelli and F. Scandola, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 100, 7732 (1978)
- (18) F. M. Martens, J. W. Verhoeven, R. A. Gase, U. K. Pandit, and Th. J. de Boer, Tetrahedron, 34, 443 (1978).
- (19) V. Balzani, F. Bolletta, F. Scandola, and R. Ballardini, Pure Appl. Chem., 51, 299 (1979).
- (20) Particularly clear-cut examples where the departure from the behavior predicted by eq 3 cannot be ascribed to such alternate pathways can be found in ET reactions involving transition metal complexes.^{13,15,17,21} For example, the back reactions involving transition metal complexes. Second Por example, the back reaction between Cr(bpy)₃²⁺ and Ru(bpy)₃³⁺ is practi-cally diffusion controlled²¹ in spite of lying far away in the "inverted" region $(\Delta G = -35,^{21} \Delta G^{\pm}(0) \simeq 2 \text{ kcal/mol}^3)$. For this reaction, there are no electronically excited states of the products available within this ΔG range;²¹ furthermore, exciplex formation is implausible because of the like charges and spherical-type symmetry of the reactants.¹⁶ (21) R. Ballardini, G. Varani, F. Scandola, and V. Balzani, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*,
- 98, 7432 (1976).
- (22) N. R. Kestner, J. Logan, and J. Jortner, J. Phys. Chem., 78, 2148 (1974); J. Ulstrup and J. Jortner, J. Chem. Phys., **63**, 4358 (1975). (23) S. Efrima and M. Bixon, Chem. Phys. Lett., **25**, 34 (1974); J. Chem. Phys.,
- 64, 3639 (1976); Chem. Phys., 13, 447 (1976)
- (24) R. A. Marcus and N. Sutin, *Inorg. Chem.*, **14**, 213 (1975).
 (25) R. P. Van Duyne and S. F. Fischer, *Chem. Phys.*, **5**, 183 (1974); S. F. Fischer and R. P. Van Duyne, *Chem. Phys.*, **26**, 9 (1977). (26) W. Schmickler, *J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans.* **2**, **72**, 307 (1976).
- (27) R. R. Dogonadze, A. M. Kuznetsov, and M. A. Vorotyntsev, Z. Phys. Chem., Neue Folge, 100, 1 (1976).
- (28) M. A. Ratner and A. Madhukar, Chem. Phys., 30, 201 (1978).
- (29) R. Matsushima, H. Fujimori, and S. Sakuraba, J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 1, 70, 1702 (1974).
- (30) H. D. Burrows, S. J. Formosinho, M. Da Graca Miguel, and F. P. Coelho, J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 1, **72**, 163 (1976). (31) O. Traverso, R. Rossi, L. Magon, A. Cinquantini, and T. J. Kemp, J. Chem.
- Soc., Dalton Trans., 569 (1978)
- (32) H. Shizuka, T. Saito, and T. Morita, Chem. Phys. Lett., 56, 519 (1978).
- (33) H. Shizuka, T. Saito, M. Nakamura, and T. Morita, Proc. IUPAC Symp. Photochem., 7th, 1978, 303 (1978).
- (34) It should be noted that the validity of any free-energy relationship rests on the fact that its "intrinsic" barrier (β in eq 4) is constant throughout the series of reactions, a condition which is probably not satisfied for the series of inorganic anions^{29,32} and cations³⁰ used as quenchers.
- A. J. Kresge, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2, 475 (1973)
- (36) N. Agmon, J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 2, 74, 388 (1978).
- (37) J. L. Kurz, Chem. Phys. Lett., 57, 243 (1978).
 (38) J. B. Guttenplan and S. G. Cohen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 94, 4040 (1972).
- (39) B. M. Monroe, C. G. Lee, and N. J. Turro, Mol. Photochem., 6, 271 (1974).

- (40) F. Wilkinson and A. Garner, J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 2, 73, 222 (1977)
- (41)Under these conditions, the slope of the plot of log k vs. ΔG should be 1/2.3RT, i.e., 16.8 eV⁻¹.
- V. Balzani and F. Bolletta, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 100, 7404 (1978).
- (43) See also R. D. Levine, J. Phys. Chem., 83, 159 (1979). (44) For the sake of comparison with previous equations, slightly different symbols are used compared with those of ref 6. For a critical discussion on the use of continuous free-energy profiles in transition state theory, see

F. R. Cruickshank, A. J. Hyde, and D. Pugh, J. Chem. Educ., 54, 288

Franco Scandola*

Centro di Studio sulla Fotochimica e Reattività degli Stati Eccitati dei Composti di Coordinazione del CNR University of Ferrara, Ferrara, Italy

Vincenzo Balzani*

Istituto Chimico "G. Ciamician" dell'Università and Laboratorio di Fotochimica e Radiazioni d'Alta Energia del CNR, Bologna, Italy Received January 31, 1979

Kinetics of the Thermal Decomposition of a 1.1-Dialkyldiazene. N-(2,2,6,6-Tetramethylpiperidyl)nitrene

Sir:

(1977).

The rates and activation parameters of 1,1-diazene thermal reactions are not known.¹ Recent theoretical studies suggest that the C-N bond strength of the 1,1-dialkyldiazene is substantially lower than that of the trans-1,2 isomer.² The homolytic decomposition of N-(dimethylamino)nitrene (1) is calculated to be endothermic by 23.5^{2a} and 26.2,^{2b} kcal/mol, respectively.



We report the kinetics of the thermal decomposition of a 1,1-dialkyldiazene. The temperature dependence of the unimolecular rate (k_1) of N-(2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidyl)nitrene (2) fragmentation was examined in three different solvents, and kinetic evidence for a direct bimolecular pathway (k_2) for the formation of tetrazene 3 from 1,1-diazene 2 is provided (Scheme I).

We recently described the direct spectroscopic observation (-78 °C) of a 1,1-dialkyldiazene, N-(2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidyl)nitrene (2).³ Treatment of 1-amino-2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine (4) with tert-butyl hypochlorite and triethylamine in dimethyl ether at -78 °C affords, in addition to an insoluble white precipitate (Et₃NHCl), an intense purple solution of 2 that is kinetically persistent at -78 °C but decolorizes rapidly at 0 °C. The visible (λ_{max} (CH₂Cl₂) 541 nm) and infrared (ν (N==N) 1595 cm⁻¹) spectra of **2** provided ex-

Scheme I



© 1979 American Chemical Society

Table I. Decomposition of $2 \text{ at} - 10.0 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$

solvent	Eτ	k, s ⁻¹	$k_{\rm rel}$	ΔG^{\ddagger}
<i>n</i> -hexane	30.9	3.49×10^{-3}	4.8	18.3
ethyl ether	34.6	1.23×10^{-3}	1.7	18.9
tetrahydrofuran	37.4	0.73×10^{-3}	1.0	19.1

Table II^a

solvent	log A	E_{a} , kcal/mol
<i>n</i> -hexane	11.6 ± 0.5	16.9 ± 0.7
ethyl ether	13.7 ± 0.3	20.0 ± 0.4
tetrahydrofuran	13.6 ± 0.3	20.1 ± 0.4

^{*a*} Tolerances are the standard deviation.

perimental evidence on the 1,1-diazene $n-\pi^*$ electronic transition and the N==N double bond character in the ground state.³

For kinetic studies, the purple 1,1-diazene 2 was chromatographed (-82 °C) on basic alumina, excess triethylamine was added, and the solution was concentrated.⁴ Inspection of the chromatographed solution at low temperature by proton NMR (CDCl₃) revealed substantial amounts of the tetrazene 3 and absorptions at δ 1.15 and 2.15 (2:1 ratio). Warming the sample to 25 °C results in the disappearance of the 1.15 and 2.15 signals, presumably the 1,1-diazene 2,⁶ while the tetrazene 3 signals increase and new absorptions in the region of the four C₉ hydrocarbons 5–8 appear.⁷ These NMR results indicate that under some conditions the unimolecular and bimolecular processes in Scheme I are competitive.

The decay kinetics of 2 were studied in three different solvents (n-hexane, ethyl ether, and tetrahydrofuran) typically in the temperature range of +4 to -21.6 °C by monitoring the optical density of the purple solution ($\sim 10^{-3}$ M) at 541 nm as a function of time.⁸ The disappearance of 2 was strictly first order at higher temperatures $(-1 \text{ to } -11.2 \text{ °C in Et}_2\text{O})$, becoming a combination of first- and higher-order kinetics as the temperature was lowered (-13.3 to -21.4 °C in Et₂O). Plots of ln Abs vs. time at the lower temperatures $(-16.0 \text{ to } -21.4 \text{ t$ °C in Et₂O) afforded a curve segment at short times followed by a linear segment at longer times (Figure 1). First-order rate constants were taken to be the slopes of the linear portions of these plots. The results of nine kinetic runs in ethyl ether (-1)to -21.4 °C) are plotted in Figure 2. The observed activation energy for the unimolecular 1,1-diazene 2 thermal decomposition in Et₂O is 20.0 \pm 0.4 kcal/mol. This is a substantially lower E_a than that observed in the decomposition of similarly substituted 1,2-dialkyldiazenes.10

The effect of solvent on the unimolecular rate of 1,1-diazene 2 decomposition was examined. We find that the rate of decomposition of 2 is sensitive to solvent, the rate increasing with decreasing solvent polarity (Table I). The activation parameters are listed in Table II.

The observed rate dependence on solvent polarity is consistent with a polar ground state decomposing by a less polar transition state. Such an effect has been observed in the decompositions of 1,2-dialkyldiazenes by Rüchardt and coworkers.^{11a} For the three solvents examined, $\ln k_1$ correlates with E_T reasonably well.^{11b}

We find that the curved portions of the ln Abs vs. time plots may be modelled as competitive unimolecular and bimolecular reactions, $k_{obsd} = k_1 + k_2[2]$. Using computer simulation, rate constants could be fitted to generate the experimental Abs vs. time plots (Figure 3).^{12,13} For example, in Et₂O at -16 °C, $k_1 = 5.03 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $k_2 = 5.0 \times 10^{-2} \text{ L/(mol s)}$. Although there is not sufficient data to derive accurate Arrhenius parameters for the bimolecular reaction $2 \rightarrow 3$, the results of the computer simulation indicate that the temperature de-















pendence of the dimerization rate is small.¹⁴ This is the first kinetic evidence for a direct bimolecular pathway for the formation of tetrazenes from 1,1-diazenes.

Acknowledgments. The authors are grateful to the National Science Foundation (CHE-75-06776) and the donors of the Petroleum Research Fund, administered by the American Chemical Society, for support of this research.

References and Notes

- (1) For reviews on 1,1-diazene behavior see: (a) Lemal, D. M. In "Nitrenes" Lwowski, W., Ed.; Interscience: New York, 1970, Chapter 10. (b) loffe, B. V.; Kuznetsov, M. A. Russ. Chem. Rev. (Engl. Trans.) 1972, 41, 131.
 (2) (a) Casewit, C.; Goddard, W. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc., in press. This paper
- includes zero point and temperature effects (298 K). The estimate is based on a large basis GVB-CI calculation of the N-H bond energy of the parent H₂N₂ 1,1-diazene and corrected for the difference (18.5 kcal/mol) between the N-H and N-CH₃ bond strengths. (b) Pasto, D. J.; Chipman, D. M. *ibid.* **1979**, *101*, 2290. This calculation does not include zero point and terr erature corrections
- (3) Hinsberg, Ill, W. D.; Dervan, P. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 1608.
 (4) Chromatography on deactivated basic alumina at -82 °C using dimethyl ether-propane as solvent removed the tert-butyl alcohol and substantial amounts of unreacted 1-amino-2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine (4). A 1,1diazene \rightarrow tetrazene dimerization (2 \rightarrow 3) on the column resulted in the loss of \sim 65% of the purple 1,1-diazene 2 upon chromatography. Importantly, the chromatography and subsequent addition of triethylamine⁵ were necessary to obtain reproducible kinetics.
- The 1,1-diazene 2 is sensitive to trace acid
- (6) From the NMR experiment (EM-390) we measured the concentration of 2 against an internal standard (CH₂Cl₂). From this we calculate the extinction coefficient for the $n-\pi^*$ electronic transition of 2, $\epsilon \sim 18 \pm 3$.
- (7) The tetrazene product 3 was isolated previously and characterized. The ratios of hydrocarbon products are sensitive to both solvent and temperature and will be reported in a full manuscript. At 0 $^\circ$ C in Et₂O we find hydrocarbons 5-8 in ratios of 61:10:24:5, respectively
- (8) The purple solution is introduced via Teflon tubing connected to sample (a) The pulse solution is introduced via term tool tool connected via term tool tool tool to a cryogenic unit^e maintained at -21 to +4 ± 0.2 °C. The optical density was monitored on a Cary Model 14 spectrophotometer.
 (9) Air Products Laboratory cryogenic system, Model LC-1-100 liquid nitrogen
- Dewar assembly, Model WMX-1A optical shroud with injector ports. (10) For *trans*-azo-*tert*-butane the enthalpy of activation $\Delta H^{\pm} = 42.2 \pm 0.8$ kcal/mol. Martin, J. C.; Timberlake, J. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1970**, *92*, 978. For a recent discussion of the enthalpies of 1,2-diazene decompositions, see Engel, P. S.; Hayes, R. A.; Keifer, L.; Szilagyi, S.; Timberlake, J. W. ibid. 1978, 100, 1876.
- (11) (a) Schultz, A.; Rüchardt, C. Tetrahedron Lett. 1976, 3883. Duismann, W.; Rüchardt, C. Chem. Ber. 1978, 111, 596. (b) Reichardt, C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1965, 4, 29, and references cited there.
- (12) Hinsberg, III, W. D., Ph.D. Thesis, California Institute of Technology, 1979.
- (13) Computer simulation performed using the MS1M4 Stochastic Mechanism Simulator developed by F. A. Houle and D. L. Bunker, Quantum Chemistry Program Exchange No. 293.
- (14) On the basis of the computer simulation we estimate an E_a of ≤ 7 kcal/mol for the bimolecular process $2 \rightarrow 3$. (15) National Science Foundation Predoctoral Fellow, 1975-1978.
- Alfred P. Sloan Research Fellow, 1977-1979, Camille and Henry Dreyfus Teacher-Scholar, 1978-1983.

William D. Hinsberg, III,¹⁵ Peter B. Dervan*¹⁶

Contribution No. 6046, Crellin Laboratory of Chemistry California Institute of Technology Pasadena, California 91125 Received June 11, 1979

Generation and Thiol Reduction of a "Quinonoid" Dihydropterin and an Oxidized Pyrimidine Analogue

Sir:

In an effort to determine the exact role of the tetrahydropterin cofactor utilized in the formation of tyrosine from phenylalanine and molecular oxygen in the reaction catalyzed by phenylalanine hydroxylase¹ (E.C. 1.14.16.1), we have been investigating the spectral and chemical properties of a "quinonoid" dihydropterin and an analogous "quinonoid" oxidized pyrimidine, the presumed products derived from the respective cofactors during turnover. The quinonoid compounds also may be generated by chemical oxidants including bromine, dichlorophenolindophenol,² and ferricyanide³ as well as peroxidase.⁴ These species are rapidly reduced to the parent cofactor by a variety of reagents, including thiols⁵ and quinonoid dihydropteridine reductase.⁶ Our results for the thiol reduction of the quinonoid compounds implicate the intermediacy of thiol adducts presumably at the 4a,8a- and 5,6 positions of the oxidized pterin and pyrimidine, respectively, during the reduction process and provide direct evidence for the existence of a hydroxylated derivative at the 5 position of 4-hydroxy-2,5,6-



Figure 1. UV spectra of 4-hydroxy-2,5,6-triaminopyrimidine (5) (-), the product of bromine oxidation of 5 (...), and 2,6-diamino-4,5-dihydroxypyrimidine (8) in the presence of a 20-fold excess of dithiothreitol (---), in 0.2 M Tris-HCl, pH 8.10.

triaminopyrimidine during its oxidation by horseradish peroxidase (E.C. 1,11.1.7).

When 6,7-dimethyltetrahydropterin (1) is treated with 1 equiv of bromine, an oxidized pterin species $(2)^7$ is generated whose UV spectral characteristics are consistent with those attributed to the primary oxidation product of 1 in its phenylalanine hydroxylase catalyzed oxidation.² The reaction of 2 with excess 2-mercaptoethanol (pH 7.45-8.10, Tris buffer, $\mu = 0.2$ KCl, 25 °C) gives two products, 1 and 7,8-dihydro-6,7-dimethylpterin (3). The reaction can be followed by the



decrease in absorbance at 380 nm. The reductive process at a given pH is dependent on the second power of the total thiol concentration, independent of buffer, and gives rise to the following rate law as shown by the dependence of the rate on pH:

$k_{obsd} = k_2'[RSH][RSH]$

The kinetics are consistent with the processes outlined in Scheme 1, where $k_{2}' = k_1 k_2 / k_{-1} = 2.2 \times 10^3 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ M}^{-2}$ and require the intermediacy of an adduct such as 4, derived from attack by mercaptoethanol at the 8a carbon. We cannot rule out, on the basis of presently available data, formation of an analogous 4a adduct. The intermediate resembles that recently isolated by Sayer et al.8 in the reaction of 1,3-dimethyl-5-(p-nitrophenylimino)barbituric acid with thiols and implicated

Scheme I

